## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

| EDDIE HAROLD BILLINGS, Jr., |             | ) |                     |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|
|                             |             | ) |                     |
|                             | Plaintiff,  | ) |                     |
|                             |             | ) |                     |
| VS.                         |             | ) | 1:13-cv-061-TWP-TAB |
|                             |             | ) |                     |
| SOUTHSIDE HIGH              | SCHOOL      | ) |                     |
| COMMISSIONER, et al.,       |             | ) |                     |
|                             |             | ) |                     |
|                             |             | ) |                     |
|                             | Defendants. | ) |                     |

## **Entry Directing Further Proceedings**

I.

The **clerk shall include** a copy of the complaint with the plaintiff-s copy of this Entry.

II.

The plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis [Dkt. 2] is granted.

III.

A.

A district court possesses only the jurisdiction conferred to it by Congress. See South Carolina v. Katzenbach, 383 U.S. 301 (1966).

Congress has conferred subject matter jurisdiction on the district courts only in cases that raise a federal question and cases in which there is diversity of citizenship among the parties. See 28 U.S.C. '' 1331-32.

Smart v. Local 702 Intern. Broth. of Elec. Workers, 562 F.3d 798, 802 (7th Cir. 2009).

Additionally, "[a] complaint must always . . . allege \*enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Limestone Development Corp. v. Village of Lemont, Ill.*, 520 F.3d 797, 803 (7th Cir. 2008) (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007)). AA claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009).

В.

The plaintiff's allegations concern his removal from high school sometime in the 1990s. The plaintiff shall have **through February 15**, **2013**, in which to (1) **supplement his complaint** by setting forth the basis of this court-s jurisdiction over his claim(s), and (2) **show cause** how any claim asserted in this action is not barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

| 01/15/2013<br><b>Date:</b> | Jange Walton Inalt             |  |  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
|                            | Hon. Tanya Walton Pratt, Judge |  |  |
|                            | United States District Court   |  |  |

Southern District of Indiana

Distribution:

Eddie Harold Billings, Jr. 503 E. Market Street Indianapolis, IN 46201

NOTE TO CLERK: PROCESSING THIS DOCUMENT REQUIRES ACTIONS IN ADDITION TO DOCKETING AND DISTRIBUTION.